

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,556	10/08/2003	Larry L. Chrisco	33383	2555
23589	7590 11/16/2004		EXAM	INER
HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP			SICONOLFI, ROBERT	
	D BLVD., SUITE 400 FY, MO 64108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3683	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1				
	10/605,556	CHRISCO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert A. Siconolfi	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply it. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this common the mail of the common that is common to the common that is the common th	nunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.						
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.						
Application Papers			•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040206. 		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				

Application/Control Number: 10/605,556 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Information Disclosure Statement filed on 2/6/04 has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 13, 14, 16-18, 20-23, 25-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rehkopf et al (U. S. Patent no. 3,819,138). See figures leading edge 16, side walls 14, rear wall 20, wheel supporting surface 22, embossed rim 12, open face on bottom, support legs 17 on each side of chock with gusset running between them parallel to surface 22

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4, 9, 11, 12, 15, 19, 24, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rehkopf et al in view of Luigi et al (U. S. Patent no. 4,476,961).

Art Unit: 3683

Regarding claim 4, Rehkopf et al is relied upon as above. Rehkopf et al does not disclose a rib for engaging the wheel. Luigi et al teaches a rib for engaging the wheel (rib 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a rib for engaging the wheel as taught by Luigi et al in the chock of Rehkopf et al in order to better hold the wheel from rotating.

Regarding claims 9, 15 and 19, Rehkopf et al is relied upon as above. Rehkopf et al does not disclose a barb. Luigi et al teaches a barb (barb 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have barb as taught by Luigi et al in the chock of Rehkopf et al in order to prevent the chock from slipping.

Regarding claims 11 and 24, Rehkopf et al is relied upon as above. Rehkopf et al does not disclose a handle. Luigi et al teaches a handle (handle 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a handle as taught by Luigi et al in the chock of Rehkopf et al in order to provide a convenient means of holding and moving the chock.

Regarding claim 36, Rehkopf et al is relied upon as above. Rehkopf et al does not disclose the specific dimension claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Rehkopf et al be any dimension at all since it is merely a design choice.

Art Unit: 3683

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 703-305-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Siconolfi

8/20/04

Examiner Art Unit 3683